



University of South Carolina  
University Technology Services  
Columbia SC 29208

## Report: Survey Results

Dear Instructor Harris,

This email contains results for PHIL325-004-FALL-2020:

The global indicators are listed first, followed by the individual average values, consisting of the following scales:

- COURSE MATERIAL
- INSTRUCTION
- INSTRUCTOR FEEDBACK
- COURSE OVERALL (PART V)

In the second part of the analysis the average values of all individual questions are listed.

# John Harris

Fall 2020  
 PHIL325-004-FALL-2020 (PHIL325-004-FALL-2020)  
 No. of responses = 6



## Overall indicators

### Global Index

4. INSTRUCTION



av.=4.89  
 dev.=0.25

5. INSTRUCTOR FEEDBACK



av.=5  
 dev.=0

6. COURSE OVERALL (PART V)




av.=4.8  
 dev.=0.45

## Survey Results

### Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses  
 av.=Mean  
 dev.=Std. Dev.  
 ab.=Abstention


## 1. STUDENT INFORMATION

1.1) Are you a Philosophy Major?




n=5  
 av.=2  
 dev.=0

1.2) Classification:




n=6  
 av.=3.17  
 dev.=1.17

1.3) What is your cumulative GPA?




n=6  
 av.=4.33  
 dev.=1.86

1.4) What is your anticipated grade in this course?




**2. COURSE FUNDAMENTALS**


2.1) The instructor clearly stated the instructional objectives of the course.




2.2) The instructor clearly stated the method by which your final grade would be determined.




2.3) The instructor clearly explained any special requirements of attendance which differ from the attendance policy of the University.




2.4) The instructor clearly graded and returned the student's written work (e.g., examinations and papers) in a timely manner.




2.5) The instructor met the class regularly and at the scheduled times.




2.6) The instructor scheduled a reasonable number of office hours per week.



2.7) The instructor was available outside the classroom. (In selecting your rating, consider the instructor's availability via established office hours, appointments, and other opportunities for face-to-face interaction as well as via telephone, e-mail, fax and other means).




2.8) If web sites, Blackboard, or other Internet resources were a part of this course, to what extent did they enhance or detract from your learning experience in the course.




### 3. COURSE MATERIAL


3.1) How helpful were the readings in contributing to the course objectives?



3.2) Related to my other courses, the material covered was:




3.3) Related to my other courses, the workload was:




### 4. INSTRUCTION


4.1) The instructor was well prepared for class.




4.2) The instructor presented the material clearly.




4.3) The instructor used class time productively and effectively.



4.4) The instructor was enthusiastic and engaging.




4.5) The instructor was receptive and responsive to student questions and comments.




### 5. INSTRUCTOR FEEDBACK


5.1) The instructor's comments on work were helpful.



5.2) The instructor's evaluation of work was fair.




5.3) The instructor treated students with respect.




6. COURSE OVERALL (PART V)

6.1) How would you rate this course compared to other courses?



6.2) How would you rate this instructor compared to other instructors?




# Profile


Subunit: **Philosophy**  
 Name of the instructor: **John Harris**  
 Name of the course: **PHIL325-004-FALL-2020**  
 (Name of the survey)

Values used in the profile line: Mean


## 2. COURSE FUNDAMENTALS






## 3. COURSE MATERIAL





## 4. INSTRUCTION



5. INSTRUCTOR FEEDBACK

5.1) The instructor's comments on work were helpful.	Strongly Disagree		Strongly Agree	n=5	av.=4.80	md=5.00	dev.=0.45
5.2) The instructor's evaluation of work was fair.	Strongly Disagree		Strongly Agree	n=5	av.=4.80	md=5.00	dev.=0.45
5.3) The instructor treated students with respect.	Strongly Disagree		Strongly Agree	n=5	av.=5.00	md=5.00	dev.=0.00


6. COURSE OVERALL (PART V)

6.1) How would you rate this course compared to other courses?	Very Poor		Excellent	n=5	av.=4.80	md=5.00	dev.=0.45
6.2) How would you rate this instructor compared to other instructors?	Very Poor		Excellent	n=5	av.=4.80	md=5.00	dev.=0.45

# Profile Line for Indicators

Subunit: Philosophy  
Name of the instructor: John Harris  
Name of the course: PHIL325-004-FALL-2020  
(Name of the survey)


4. INSTRUCTION



av.=5.00

dev.=0.00

5. INSTRUCTOR FEEDBACK



av.=4.87

dev.=0.30

6. COURSE OVERALL (PART V)



av.=4.80

dev.=0.45



# Comments Report

---

## 7. WRITTEN COMMENTS

7.1) In your opinion, what was the instructor's greatest strength?

- Creates meaningful and interesting assignments.
- The teacher was great at explaining topics and made you excited to present.
- Very engaged in the course, as well as his students. I appreciated his organization and clearly stated objectives/assignments, especially in a time when so few professors are. It made focusing on the material and putting in quality work much easier.

7.2) Do you have any suggestions for how the course might be improved?

- It is perfect already
- Short meetings with students, five to ten minutes or even less, to face-to-face discuss research paper drafts.

7.3) Please offer any additional comments you may have on the course or instructor.

- Thank you for everything! This semester was particularly brutal for many reasons, and your class (though still insightful, educational, and constructive) did not add to the chaos of trying to get an education.
- This class was my favorite class because it was challenging and interesting.